

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/725,583	12/03/2003	Eric McConnell	005216.00030	3443	
30754 CARGILL, IN	7590 12/11/2007		EXAMINER		
15407 MCGIN	TY ROAD WEST	NELSON, FREDA ANN			
WAYZATA, N	4N 55391-2399		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/725,583 MCCONNELL ET AL		AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Freda A. Nelson	3628			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Septi</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal ma		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-12 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-12.19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 14-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment received on September 17, 2007 is acknowledged and entered.

Claims 1 and 11 have been amended. Claims 3 and 13 have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-2, 4-12, and 14-21 are currently pending.

Response to Amendment and Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 17, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1 1-2, 4-12, and 14-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner's Note

Examiner cites particular pages, columns, paragraphs and/or line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

10/725,583 Art Unit: 3628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 9-12, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alfred et al. (US PG Pub. 2003/0187808).

As per claim 1, Alfred et al. disclose a computer system, comprising:

a processor (FIG. 1); and

a memory for storing computer readable instructions that, when executed by said processor, cause the computer to perform the steps of (FIG 1):

receiving a set of data corresponding to a set of predetermined variables (paragraph [0014]);

determining a difference in cost, and generating a cost differential report according to the estimated total cost for each product (paragraph [0016]); and displaying the report (paragraph [0016]).

Alfred et al. does not expressly disclose determining a total cost estimate of one or more products using the set of data corresponding to the set of predetermined variables, and wherein the total cost estimate is based on determining a total material cost for one or more products.

10/725,583

Art Unit: 3628

However it is old and well-known in the business arts to include the cost of materials in the total cost of products to determine the appropriate sale price for the seller to make a profit.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Alfred et al. to include the cost of materials in order to optimize profits.

As per claim 2, Alfred et al. disclose the computer system of claim 1, wherein the step of receiving a set of data corresponding to a set of predetermined variables further comprises: receiving the set of data from the user through portions of a user interface configurable for user input (paragraph [0017]).

As per claim 9, Alfred et al. disclose the computer system of claim 1, wherein the step of displaying the cost and savings calculations further comprises: prompting the user with an option to purchase the product (paragraph [0108]).

As per claim 10, Alfred et al. disclose the computer system of claim 1, wherein the step of displaying the cost and savings calculations further comprises: displaying sales contact information (paragraph [0108]).

As per claim 11, Alfred et al. disclose a computer system, comprising: a processor (FIG. 1); and

Application/Control Number:

10/725,583 Art Unit: 3628

a memory for storing computer readable instructions that, when executed by said processor, cause the computer to perform the steps of (FIG 1):

receiving a set of data corresponding to a set of predetermined variables (paragraph [0014]);

determining a difference in cost, and generating a cost differential report according to the estimated total cost for each product (paragraph [0016]); and displaying the report (paragraph [0016]).

Alfred et al. does not expressly disclose determining a total cost estimate of one or more products using the set of data corresponding to the set of predetermined variables, and wherein the total cost estimate is based on determining a total material cost for one or more products.

However it is old and well-known in the business arts to include the cost of materials in the total cost of products to determine the appropriate sale price for the seller to make a profit.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Alfred et al. to include the cost of materials in order to optimize profits.

As per claim 12, Alfred et al. disclose the computer readable medium of claim 11, wherein the step of receiving a set of data corresponding to a set of predetermined variables further comprises:

Application/Control Number:

10/725,583 Art Unit: 3628

receiving the set of data from the user through portions of a user interface configurable for user input (paragraph [0017]).

As per claim 19, Alfred et al. disclose the computer-readable medium according to claim 11, wherein the computer-executable instructions for performing the step of displaying the cost and savings calculations further comprises: prompting the user with an option to purchase the product (paragraph [0108]).

As per claim 20, Alfred et al. disclose the computer-readable medium according to claim 11, wherein the computer-executable instructions for performing the step of displaying the cost and savings calculations further comprises: displaying sales contact information (paragraph [0108]).

Conclusion

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 21 is allowed.

Claims 4-8 and 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freda A. Nelson whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number:

10/725,583 Art Unit: 3628 Page 7

7076. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Wednesday and Friday, 10:00 AM -6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FAN 11/25/07

lda) flan

JOHN W. PAYES